



EVALUATION OF EUNIS 2008

The evaluation is implemented by the EUNIS 2008 Organising Committee. The responses are extracted from the survey system on September 4th 2008.

A unique link to the survey has been sent to the 308 EUNIS 2008 attendees. The survey consists of responses from 68 EUNIS 2008 attendees which is approx. 22% of the attendants.

RESULTS		
No. of respondents: 68 Percentage of total (308): 22% The 68 respondents are converted to 100% in the evaluation		
The number of times the respondents have been attending a EUNIS conference (EUNIS 2008 included)		
Calculation	Result	
Average	2.88	
PRESENTATIONS		
The value of the presentations to you		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	1	1.47%
Excellent (4)	5	7.35%
Good (3)	36	52.94%
Fair (2)	25	36.76%
Poor (1)	1	1.47%
Did not participate (5)	0	0.00%
The overall quality of the keynote presentations		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	1	1.47%
Excellent (4)	20	29.41%
Good (3)	37	54.41%
Fair (2)	9	13.24%
Poor (1)	0	0.00%
Did not participate (5)	1	1.47%



The overall quality of the paper presentations		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	5	7.35%
Excellent (4)	3	4.41%
Good (3)	37	54.41%
Fair (2)	20	29.41%
Poor (1)	2	2.94%
Did not participate (5)	1	1.47%
Did you present a paper or poster at EUNIS 2008		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	1	1.47%
Yes (1)	27	39.71%
No (2)	40	58.82%
The overall quality of the tutorial (Tuesday)		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	19	27.94%
Excellent (4)	3	4.41%
Good (3)	10	14.71%
Fair (2)	2	2.94%
Poor (1)	1	1.47%
Did not participate (5)	33	48.53%
ORGANISATION AND COMMUNICATION		
The efficiency and friendliness of the organising and support staff		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	2	2.94%
Excellent (4)	51	75.00%
Good (3)	14	20.59%
Fair (2)	1	1.47%
Poor (1)	0	0.00%
Did not participate (5)	0	0.00%
The organisation of the conference		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	0	0.00%
Excellent (4)	48	70.59%
Good (3)	16	23.53%
Fair (2)	4	5.88%
Poor (1)	0	0.00%
Did not participate (5)	0	0.00%

The quality of the information about the conference as such before and during the conference (website, e-mails, conference programme)		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	0	0.00%
Excellent (4)	37	54.41%
Good (3)	27	39.71%
Fair (2)	4	5.88%
Poor (1)	0	0.00%
Did not participate (5)	0	0.00%
The technical facilities at the conference venue		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	1	1.47%
Excellent (4)	40	58.82%
Good (3)	22	32.35%
Fair (2)	3	4.41%
Poor (1)	1	1.47%
Did not participate (5)	1	1.47%
The quality of the the conference venue: The Lakeside Lecture Theatres		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	2	2.94%
Excellent (4)	48	70.59%
Good (3)	14	20.59%
Fair (2)	0	0.00%
Poor (1)	0	0.00%
Did not participate (5)	4	5.88%
SOCIAL EVENTS AND MEALS		
The quality of the lunches and coffee breaks		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	1	1.47%
Excellent (4)	27	39.71%
Good (3)	30	44.12%
Fair (2)	10	14.71%
Poor (1)	0	0.00%
Did not participate (5)	0	0.00%

The quality of the social events during the conference (visit to CAVI, ARoS art museum, Football BBQ and excursion to Silkeborg)		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	6	8.82%
Excellent (4)	14	20.59%
Good (3)	26	38.24%
Fair (2)	4	5.88%
Poor (1)	1	1.47%
Did not participate (5)	17	25.00%
The quality of the welcome reception at the City Hall and the Gala Dinner (Thursday)		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	0	0.00%
Excellent (4)	33	48.53%
Good (3)	23	33.82%
Fair (2)	3	4.41%
Poor (1)	0	0.00%
Did not participate (5)	9	13.24%
ACCOMODATION AND REGISTRATION		
The efficiency of the online booking and registration process		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	4	5.88%
Excellent (4)	23	33.82%
Good (3)	24	35.29%
Fair (2)	12	17.65%
Poor (1)	2	2.94%
Did not participate (5)	3	4.41%
The efficiency of the registration process on-site		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	4	5.88%
Excellent (4)	30	44.12%
Good (3)	23	33.82%
Fair (2)	4	5.88%
Poor (1)	0	0.00%
Did not participate (5)	7	10.29%



The suitability and quality of your hotel accommodation		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	7	10.29%
Excellent (4)	16	23.53%
Good (3)	18	26.47%
Fair (2)	15	22.06%
Poor (1)	6	8.82%
Did not participate (5)	6	8.82%
OVERALL OPINION		
Your opinion of the event as a whole		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	1	1.47%
Excellent (1)	27	39.71%
Good (2)	34	50.00%
Fair (3)	6	8.82%
Poor (4)	0	0.00%
The opportunity to network at the conference		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	3	4.41%
Excellent (1)	27	39.71%
Good (2)	36	52.94%
Fair (3)	1	1.47%
Poor (4)	1	1.47%
The likelihood of you attending future EUNIS conferences		
Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	2	2.94%
Excellent (1)	23	33.82%
Good (2)	36	52.94%
Fair (3)	7	10.29%
Poor (4)	0	0.00%



<p align="center">Wishes for EUNIS 2009 <i>(the answers are extracted from the survey)</i></p>
A session about Research management. a session about Management Control, KPI, Dashboards for University Bodies of government
Longer paper presentations. 15 minutes is too little
Best wishes :)
Time slots too short
To be as well organised as 2008!
Networking opportunities and discussion sessions instead of too many formal papers. Conference locations close together and close to hotels (the best locations for me have been Tartu and Bled as they are small towns.)Vegetarian food !!!
The same high level of organisation and facilities. Thank you it was very good.
An opportunity to present a paper ;-)
MExact shedule of presentations (each presentation should ahve its own starting time)
To be even better that 2008
Easy work for the organization.
Keep the same standard!
Keep the same level as in Aarchus :)
I might return from retirement
Better selection of presentations. More time for presenters - 30 min.
Fewer but longer presentations
A location which is easier to travel to. Århus is very expensive since the number of flights is limited.
All the success
Make some sessions longer.
Better weather ;-)
Standards for communication between systems.
I thought that some presentations suffered through lack of time